

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
250 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Jordan A. Wishnew  
James A. Newton

*Counsel for the ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

_____	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
_____	)	

**THE RESCAP LIQUIDATING TRUST’S COUNTER-  
DESIGNATION OF ITEMS FOR RECORD ON APPEAL**

Pursuant to Rule 8009 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Rule 8009-1 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, the ResCap Liquidating Trust (the “**Liquidating Trust**”), established pursuant to the terms of the *Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC, et al. and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors* [Docket No. 6030] (the “**Plan**”)<sup>1</sup> in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), hereby submits the following counter-designation of additional items to be included in the record on appeal in connection with the Notice of Appeal [Docket Nos. 8026] filed by appellant Tom Franklin (the “**Appellant**”), from the *Order Overruling the Objection of Tom Franklin to the Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order*

<sup>1</sup> The Plan was confirmed by order of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “**Bankruptcy Court**”) dated December 11, 2013 [Docket No. 6065] and the Plan’s effective date occurred on December 17, 2013. The Plan provides for the creation and implementation of the Liquidating Trust. *See* Plan, Art. VI.A.

*Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed By 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)*  
[Docket No. 7953], which was entered by the Bankruptcy Court on January 6, 2015.<sup>2</sup>

The Liquidating Trust designates the following additional items to be included in the record on appeal.<sup>3</sup>

#### A. Pleadings

Docket No.	Description
1824	Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 362(d) for Entry of an Order Approving Procedures by Which Third Parties May Request and Obtain Stipulated Relief from the Automatic Stay to Commence or Continue Actions to Foreclose Senior Liens
5049	Supplemental Order Granting Debtors' Twenty-First Omnibus Objection to Claims (Borrower Claims with Insufficient Documentation) with Respect to Claim No. 1195 of Tom Franklin
7096	Order Granting the ResCap Liquidating Trust's Sixty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection (Purported Administrative Claims)
7911	Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. §362(a)
7912	Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. §362(a) (U.S. Bank Trust, N.A.)
7913	Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. §362(a) (Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.)
7914	Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. §362(a) (U.S. Bank National Association)
7933	Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)

<sup>2</sup> The Liquidating Trust files this counter-designation out of an abundance of caution. Claimant failed to comply with Rule 8009 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure when he failed to submit to the Bankruptcy Court a designation of record on appeal within 14 days of the filing of his notice of appeal. Indeed, the only item identified in his designation of record on appeal that was filed with the District Court was a transcript for a hearing that never occurred. The Liquidating Trust reserves the right to seek dismissal of the appeal on this or any other basis.

<sup>3</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, the Liquidating Trust's designation of any pleadings and/or exhibit lists includes the designation of any and all exhibits filed with, attached to or otherwise referenced in such pleadings.

Docket No.	Description
7934	Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)
7935	Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)
7936	Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)
7950	Opposition Response of Tom Franklin to Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. 362(a)
7953	Order signed on 1/6/2015 Overruling the Objection of Tom Franklin to the Notice of Presentment of Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. Section 362(a)
8074	Order to Show Cause Why Court Should Not Determine Tom Franklin to be a Vexatious Litigator and Either Impose Sanctions or Issue an Injunction Enjoining Future Filings by Franklin

Dated: February 20, 2015  
New York, New York

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum

Norman S. Rosenbaum

Jordan A. Wishnew

James A. Newton

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

250 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 468-8000

Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**ORDER PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE  
SECTIONS 105(a) AND 362(d) FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING  
PROCEDURES BY WHICH THIRD PARTIES MAY REQUEST AND OBTAIN  
STIPULATED RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO COMMENCE OR  
CONTINUE ACTIONS TO FORECLOSE SENIOR LIENS**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”),<sup>1</sup> dated September 11, 2012, of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the “Debtors”), pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, for entry of an order establishing procedures by which third parties that hold mortgages on real property (each, a “Senior Lien”) in which the Debtors hold or service an interest that is subordinate to the third party’s interest (each, a “Property”) may request and, if certain requirements are met, obtain stipulated relief from the automatic stay in order to foreclose on such Property (each such action, a “Senior Lien Foreclosure Action”), all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMorgan Chase”) having filed a response and objection to the Motion [Docket No. 1490] (the “JPMorgan Chase Objection”); and it appearing that proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby:

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

ORDERED THAT:

1. The relief requested in the Motion is GRANTED as provided herein, except with respect to the JPMorgan Chase Objection, which shall either be resolved by a separate stipulation and order between JPMorgan Chase and the Debtors or adjudicated by a separate order of the Court.

2. The Lift Stay Procedures are approved as follows:

**Lift Stay Procedures**

3. Any party (each, a “Requesting Party”) seeking to continue or commence a Senior Lien Foreclosure Action with respect to any Property must serve a written request for relief from the automatic stay (a “Request”) on each of the following parties: (a) the Debtors; (b) Morrison & Foerster LLP, as bankruptcy counsel for the Debtors; (c) Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, as counsel for the Committee; (d) the U.S. Trustee (parties listed in (a) through (d) collectively, the “Notice Parties”); and (e) any other party of which the Requesting Party is aware that holds or claims to hold an interest in the Property; provided, that Nationstar Mortgage LLC (“Nationstar”) or Berkshire Hathaway Inc. (“Berkshire Hathaway” and, together with Nationstar, the “Stalking Horse Bidders”) may elect at any time to be added as a Notice Party by filing a notice to such effect with the Bankruptcy Court and serving it in the same manner and to the same parties as this Motion. If a Stalking Horse Bidder elects to be added as a Notice Party, the Debtors shall revise the Questionnaire to include such additional Notice Party.

4. The Request shall be accompanied by a completed questionnaire, in a form attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** (the “Questionnaire”). The Questionnaire shall include the following information:

- (a) Address of the Property;
- (b) Name of the borrower under the Senior Lien;

- (c) Nature of the Debtors' purported interest in the Property (to be supported by a title or foreclosure report reflecting such liens or interests);
- (d) Identity of the party that owns the Senior Lien (the "Senior Holder");
- (e) Identity of Requesting Party if different from the Senior Holder, nature of such Requesting Party's interest in the Property, and capacity in which such request is made (e.g., as servicer, etc.);
- (f) Identification of any other known liens on the Property and holder of such liens;
- (g) Value of the Property on which the foreclosure bid is based (to be supported by an appraisal or broker price opinion (in each case conducted within the ninety (90) days preceding the date of the Questionnaire), or other documentation of value reasonably acceptable to the Debtors);
- (h) Total indebtedness attributable to the Senior Lien, including unpaid principal balance, outstanding corporate advances and legal fees (to be supported by documentation);
- (i) Description of the default under the Senior Lien (i.e., timing and nature of default, including date and amount of last payment, contractual payment amount outstanding, and length and amount of arrearage);
- (j) Court in which First Lien Foreclosure Action is pending or in which the Requesting Party proposes to bring such action, as applicable, together with copies of any relevant documents filed in connection with the First Lien Foreclosure Action; and
- (k) Description of any loss mitigation efforts undertaken by or on behalf of the Senior Holder with respect to the Senior Lien within the previous twelve (12) months.

5. Within twenty (20) days after the receipt of a Request (the "Evaluation Period"), the Notice Parties shall review and evaluate the Request. If any of the Notice Parties determine that additional information is reasonably required in order to evaluate the Request, they will promptly notify the Debtors who will forward the request for additional information to the

Requesting Party. The Evaluation Period will commence upon receipt by the Notice Parties of such additional information.

6. To the extent the Stalking Horse Bidders are not Notice Parties, (a) the Debtors shall provide copies of each Request for which the Property value is believed to exceed the total indebtedness attributable to the Senior Lien (each, an “Equity Request”) to counsel for each of the Stalking Horse Bidders within two (2) business days after receiving such Equity Request, and (b) the Stalking Horse Bidders shall have the right to object to an Equity Request to the same extent and in the same manner as a Notice Party; provided, that each of the Stalking Horse Bidders shall only have the right to object to an Equity Request to the extent the Debtors’ interest in the Property is an asset subject to purchase under the respective asset purchase agreement between such Stalking Horse Bidder and the Debtors. In addition, the Debtors shall consult with counsel for the Stalking Horse Bidders in connection with their evaluation of the Requests bi-weekly or at such other intervals as may be reasonably requested by each Stalking Horse Bidder.

7. Each Notice Party may object to a Request by providing written notice to the Debtors, the Debtors’ counsel, and the Requesting Party prior to the expiration of the Evaluation Period, which notice shall specify the basis for such objection; provided, that each of the Stalking Horse Bidders (to the extent they become Notice Parties) shall only have the right to object to a Request to the extent the Debtors’ interest in the Property is an asset subject to purchase under the respective asset purchase agreement between such Stalking Horse Bidder and the Debtors.

8. Within two (2) business days of the end of the Evaluation Period, if the Debtors determine that they will consent to the Request and no other Notice Party has objected, the Debtors shall notify the Requesting Party, and shall execute a stipulation, substantially in the

form attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** (the “Stipulation”), within ten (10) business days following the expiration of the Evaluation Period. The Debtors shall submit the Stipulation to the Bankruptcy Court for approval within five (5) business days after execution by all parties. The Stipulation may be submitted and entered upon five (5) days’ notice of presentment.

9. If the Debtors determine that they will not consent to the Request or any other Notice Party objects, the Debtors shall notify the Requesting Party that the Request has been denied and provide the basis for the denial within two (2) business days of the end of the Evaluation Period, following which the Requesting Party may file a motion with the Bankruptcy Court seeking stay relief in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Court’s Case Management Procedures [Docket No. 141] (the “Case Management Procedures”).

10. Any party that files a motion seeking relief from the automatic stay to foreclose on a Senior Lien with the Bankruptcy Court without following the Lift Stay Procedures shall be deemed to have submitted a Request, and the motion will be dismissed without prejudice and such party shall have the right to refile the motion in accordance with the Case Management Procedures in the event the Request is denied in accordance with the Lift Stay Procedures.

### **Miscellaneous**

11. To the extent applicable, the 14-day stay of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 6004(h) is hereby waived, and this Order shall be effective immediately.

12. The Debtors are authorized to execute and deliver all instruments and documents, and take such other action as may be necessary or appropriate to implement and effectuate the transactions contemplated by this Order.

13. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, this Order shall not modify or affect the terms and provisions of, nor the rights and obligations under, (a) the Board of

Governors of the Federal Reserve System Consent Order, dated April 13, 2011, by and among AFI, Ally Bank, ResCap, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, (b) the consent judgment entered April 5, 2012 by the District Court for the District of Columbia, dated February 9, 2012, (c) the Order of Assessment of a Civil Money Penalty Issued Upon Consent Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended, dated February 10, 2012, and (d) all related agreements with AFI and Ally Bank and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 15, 2012

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**EXHIBIT 1**

*In re Residential Capital, LLC, et al.*  
Chapter 11 Case No. 12-12020 (MG)

**SENIOR LIEN STAY RELIEF QUESTIONNAIRE**

This questionnaire is to be completed by any party (the “Requesting Party”) requesting stay relief (each, a “Request”) to foreclose on a mortgage or security interest (the “Senior Mortgage”) on property (the “Property”) in which Residential Capital, LLC, *et al.* (the “Debtors”) hold a subordinate interest, and served on the parties listed below.

All questions **must** be answered. Please use “none,” “not applicable,” or “N/A,” as appropriate. If more space is needed, please complete on a separate page and attach.

1. Address of the Property that is the subject of the Request:

---

---

2. Name of the borrower under the Senior Mortgage:

---

3. Nature of the Debtors’ interest in the Property (to be supported by a title or foreclosure report reflecting such liens or interests and attached to this Questionnaire):

---

---

---

4. Name and contact information of party that owns the Senior Mortgage (the “Senior Holder”):

---

---

---

5. If the Requesting Party is different from the Senior Holder:

(a) Name and contact information of Requesting Party:

---

---

(b) Nature of Requesting Party's interest in the Property:

---

---

(c) Capacity in which the Request is made (i.e., servicer, etc.):

---

---

6. Description of any other known liens on the Property (including the holder of such liens):

---

---

---

---

7. Value of the Property on which the foreclosure bid is based (to be supported by an appraisal or broker price opinion (in each case conducted within the ninety (90) days preceding the date of the Questionnaire), or other documentation of value reasonably acceptable to the Debtors or other documentation of value reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and attached to this Questionnaire):

---

---

8. Total indebtedness attributable to the Senior Lien, including unpaid principal balance, outstanding corporate advances and legal fees (to be supported by documentation attached to this Questionnaire):

---

- 
9. Description of the default under the Senior Lien (i.e., timing and nature of default, including date and amount of last payment, contractual payment amount outstanding, and length and amount of arrearage):

---

---

---

---

10. Court in which the foreclosure action with respect to the Senior Mortgage is pending or in which the Requesting Party proposes to bring the action (and, if applicable, the case name and number, together with copies of any relevant documents filed in the First Lien Foreclosure Action):

---

---

---

11. Description of any loss mitigation efforts undertaken by or on behalf of the Senior Holder with respect to the Senior Mortgage within the previous twelve (12) months:

---

---

---

---

**I hereby certify that (a) I am authorized to submit this Request on behalf of the Requesting Party, and (b) the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

**UPON COMPLETION, PLEASE REMIT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE, TOGETHER WITH  
COPIES OF ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, TO THE FOLLOWING  
PARTIES:**

Residential Capital, LLC  
1100 Virginia Dr.  
Ft. Washington, Pennsylvania, 19034  
Attn: Melody Wright

Office of the United States Trustee  
33 Whitehall Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
Attn: Brian Masumoto, Esq. and  
Michael Driscoll, Esq.

Morrison & Foerster LLP  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10104  
Attn: Norman S. Rosenbaum  
James Newton, and  
Erica J. Richards

Kramer Levin, Naftalis & Frankel LLP  
Counsel for the Committee  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
Attn: Elise Frejka and Douglas Mannal

Any other party of which the Requesting Party  
is aware that holds or claims to hold an interest  
in the Property.

**EXHIBIT 2**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2012 (Docket No. \_\_\_\_ ) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>2</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interests; and [requesting party] (“Movant”) having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with property with an address of [address] (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”), upon all of the proceedings had before the Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

The Request is granted as set forth herein.

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

The automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Movant to complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.

Movant shall provide due notice to the Debtors in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent required by applicable state law.

To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to Movant and any other entity holding a valid and enforceable lien on the Mortgaged Property that is senior to the lien of the Debtors, such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed (a) to the Debtors' estates, or (b) to the extent the Debtors' interest in the Property is an asset subject to purchase under an asset purchase agreement between the Debtors and a Stalking Horse Bidder (or any other purchaser) (each, an "APA"), then, on and after the closing of the sale under such APA, to such purchaser via wire transfer.

This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

That pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Movant is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p><b>GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC</b></p>   <p>By: _____          Gary S. Lee          Norman S. Rosenbaum  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>          1290 Avenue of the Americas          New York, New York 10104          Telephone: (212) 468-8000          Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p>  <p><i>Counsel for Debtors          and Debtors in Possession</i></p>	<p>[MOVANT]</p>   <p>By: _____          [Name]          [Address]          Tel: [_____]          Fax: [_____]</p> <p><i>COUNSEL FOR [MOVANT]</i></p>
---	--

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED  
This \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ in New York

**HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

_____	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
_____	)	

**SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' TWENTY-FIRST OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (BORROWER CLAIMS WITH INSUFFICIENT  
DOCUMENTATION) WITH RESPECT TO CLAIM NO. 1195 OF TOM FRANKLIN**

Upon the twenty-first omnibus claims objection, dated July 3, 2013 (the "Objection"),<sup>1</sup> of Residential Capital, LLC and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced Chapter 11 Cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), seeking entry of an order, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court's order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 3294] (the "Procedures Order"), disallowing and expunging the Insufficient Documentation Claims, all as more fully described in the Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Objection and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and upon consideration of the Objection and the Declaration of Deanna Horst, the Declaration of Norman S. Rosenbaum, and the Declaration of

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

Robert D. Nosek, annexed to the Objection as Exhibits 1-3, respectively; and upon consideration of the responses filed by Tom Franklin (the “Responses”) [Docket Nos. 4282, 4961, 4980] relating to Claim No. 1195 (the “Franklin Claim”), all in connection with the Objection; and upon consideration of the *Debtors’ Omnibus Reply in Support of Debtors’ Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twentieth, and Twenty-First Omnibus Claims Objections to Borrower Claims with Insufficient Documentation* [Docket No. 4842] (the “Reply”); and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and the Court having determined that the Objection complies with the Borrower Claim Procedures set forth in the Procedures Order; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Objection is granted to the extent provided herein and the Responses are overruled; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Franklin Claim is hereby disallowed and expunged; and it is further

ORDERED that Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent, is authorized and directed to expunge from the claims register the Franklin Claim pursuant to this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that the Debtors are authorized and empowered to take all actions as may be necessary and appropriate to implement the terms of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that notice of the Objection, as provided therein, shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a),

the Case Management Procedures entered on May 23, 2012 [Docket No. 141], the Procedures Order, and the Local Bankruptcy Rules of this Court are satisfied by such notice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order shall be a final order with respect to the Franklin Claim; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: September 16, 2013  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
	)	

---

**ORDER GRANTING THE RESCAP LIQUIDATING TRUST’S SIXTY-THIRD  
OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION (PURPORTED ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS)**

Upon the sixty-third omnibus claims objection, dated April 30, 2014 [Docket No. 6845] (the “Objection”),<sup>1</sup> of The ResCap Liquidating Trust (the “Liquidating Trust”) established pursuant to the terms of the confirmed Plan filed in the above-referenced Chapter 11 Cases, as successor in interest to the Debtors, seeking entry of an order, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 3294] (the “Procedures Order”), disallowing and expunging the Prepetition Claims on the basis that they are on account of prepetition obligations; Late-Filed Claims on the basis that they were filed after the Administrative Claim Bar Date; the Late-Filed Prepetition Claims on the basis that they were on account of prepetition obligations and were filed after the Administrative Claim Bar Date; and the No Basis Claims on the basis that they assert claims that are not valid administrative claims under section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Objection and the relief

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and upon consideration of the Objection, the *Declaration of Deanna Horst in Support of The ResCap Liquidating Trust's Sixty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection (Purported Administrative Claims)*, annexed thereto as Exhibit 1-A; and the *Declaration of P. Joseph Morrow IV in Support of The ResCap Liquidating Trust's Sixty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection (Purported Administrative Claims)*, annexed thereto as Exhibit 1-B; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interests of the Liquidating Trust, the Liquidating Trust's beneficiaries, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Objection is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto (collectively, the "Prepetition Claims") are hereby disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit B annexed hereto (the "Late-Filed Claims") are hereby disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit C annexed hereto (the "Late-Filed Prepetition Claims") are hereby disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit D annexed hereto (the “No Basis Claims”) are hereby disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC, the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent, is directed to disallow and expunge the Prepetition Claims, Late-Filed Claims, Late-Filed Prepetition Claims, and No Basis Claims identified on the schedules attached as Exhibit A, Exhibit B, Exhibit C, and Exhibit D hereto so that such claims are no longer maintained on the Debtors’ Claims Register; and it is further

ORDERED that the Liquidating Trust is authorized and empowered to take all actions as may be necessary and appropriate to implement the terms of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that notice of the Objection as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a), the Case Management Procedures entered on May 23, 2012 [Docket No. 141], and the Local Bankruptcy Rules of this Court are satisfied by such notice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of any claim not listed on Exhibit A, Exhibit B, Exhibit C or Exhibit D annexed to this Order, and all rights to object on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any claim that is not listed on Exhibit A, Exhibit B, Exhibit C or Exhibit D annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order shall be a final order with respect to each of the Prepetition Claims, Late-Filed Claims, Late-Filed Prepetition Claims, and No Basis Claims identified on Exhibit A, Exhibit B, Exhibit C, or Exhibit D annexed hereto, as if each such Prepetition Claim,

Late-Filed Claim, Late-Filed Prepetition Claim, and No Basis Claim had been individually objected to; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: June 12, 2014  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**Exhibit A**  
**Prepetition Claims**

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)  
EXHIBIT A  
SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION - DUPLICATE OF PREPETITION CLAIM (BORROWER ADMIN CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged						
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number	Reason(s) for Disallowance
1	Charles T Clark 7404 Mesa De Arena NW Albuquerque, NM 87120-1516	7315	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured \$60,000.00 Secured Priority \$19,712.00 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 1188 on October 12, 2012. This claim was reclassified pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Thirty-Eighth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5898]. The Borrower Claims Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 1188 in due course.
2	June Elliott Neyer and Leland Anthony Neyer 324 North Main Street P.O. Box 970 Alturas, CA 96101-0970	7409	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 603 on September 17, 2012. This Claim was expunged pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Fifty-First Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 6155].
3	Marion L Jenkins and Sharon B Jenkins PO Box 150057 Atlanta, GA 30315	7330	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured \$2,000,000.00 Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 4431 on November 9, 2012. This Claim was expunged pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 4941].
4	Marion L Jenkins and Sharon B Jenkins PO Box 150057 Atlanta, GA 30315	7344	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured \$2,000,000.00 Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 4431 on November 9, 2012. This Claim was expunged pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 4941].
5	Michael J. Clavin 1 Carlson Terrace Fishkill, NY 12524	7425	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$2,794.81 General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage, LLC	12-12032	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 5279 on November 16, 2012. This claim was reclassified pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5895]. The the Borrower Claims Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 5279 in due course.
6	Richard A. Roman 4011 Santa Anita El Paso , TX 79902	7452	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 5865 on November 19, 2012. This Claim was expunged pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Fifth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 4241].
7	Tom Franklin 5800 Oak Grove Road Fort Worth, TX 76134	7335	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$131,000.00 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 1195 on October 12, 2012. This Claim was expunged pursuant to the Supplemental Order Granting the Debtors' Twenty-First Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5049].

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)  
EXHIBIT A  
SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION - DUPLICATE OF PREPETITION CLAIM (BORROWER ADMIN CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged					
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Reason(s) for Disallowance
8	Vicki West 5328 W 7th Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90043	7322	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$29,849.85 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC 12-12020	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 995 on October 5, 2012. This Claim was expunged pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Twenty-Seventh Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5199].

**Exhibit B**  
**Late-Filed Claims**

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)  
EXHIBIT B  
SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION - LATE FILED (BORROWER ADMIN CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged						
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number
1	Norma E. Rodriguez 14429 S Cookacre St Compton, CA 90221-2415	7450	02/19/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$477,189.77 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020
2	Shelia Letcher 8807 Blue Ridge Blvd Kansas City, MO 64138	7435	01/22/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020
3	Sheryl Terrell 1001 44th St. W Birmingham, AL 35208	7436	01/28/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020

**Exhibit C**  
**Late-Filed Prepetition Claims**

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)  
EXHIBIT C  
SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION - LATE FILED / DUPLICATE OF PREPETITION CLAIM (BORROWER ADMIN CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged									
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number	Unliquidated	Claimant Filed Prepetition Claim	Reason(s) for Disallowance
1	Bruce Osojnak 218 E 900 S Salt Lake City, UT 84111-4215	7351	01/17/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$43,315.39 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 3781 on November 8, 2012. This claim was reclassified from a claim against Residential Capital, LLC to a claim against GMAC Mortgage, LLC pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Thirty-Eighth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5898]. The Borrower Claim Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 3781 in due course.
2	Linda J Vines & Gregory J Vines 3510 Derby Shire Circle Baltimore, MD 21244	7433	01/21/2014	\$60,795.91 Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	GMAC Mortgage, LLC	12-12032	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 1281 on October 15, 2012. This claim was reclassified from a secured claim to an unsecured claim pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5895]. The Borrower Claim Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 1281 in due course.
3	Lynn Chapman Greene and James J. Cassidy 6526 Wauconda Dr Larkspur, CO 80118	7347	01/21/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$34,800.00 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 3484 on November 9, 2012. This claim was reclassified from a priority claim to a general unsecured claim pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 4953]. The Borrower Claim Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 3484 in due course.
4	Mary Nascimento (Deceased), & Sarah Olindina Nascimento (Executor, Estate Administrator) 55 Elijah Court Cameron, NC 28326-6496	7429	01/17/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$9,985.17 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 4038 on November 9, 2012. This claim was reclassified from an administrative priority claim to a general unsecured claim pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 4953]. The Borrower Claim Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 4038 in due course.
5	Patricia Gaulty PO Box 12983 Tucson, AZ 85732	7430	01/17/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 4427 on November 9, 2012. This claim was reclassified from a claim against Residential Capital, LLC to a claim against GMAC Mortgage, LLC pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Thirty-Eighth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5898]. The Borrower Claim Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 4427 in due course.
6	Tamara Carlson 18505 Ballantrae Drive Arlington, WA 98223-5038	7432	01/21/2014	\$60,300.00 Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Claimant previously filed Claim No. 3887 on November 9, 2012. This claim was reclassified from a claim against Residential Capital, LLC to a claim against GMAC Mortgage, LLC pursuant to the Order Granting the Debtors' Thirty-Ninth Omnibus Objection [Docket No. 5899]. The Borrower Claim Trust will be addressing prepetition claim 3887 in due course.

**Exhibit D**  
**No Basis Claims**

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)  
EXHIBIT D  
SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION - NO BASIS / NO LIABILITY (BORROWER ADMIN CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged									
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number	Unliquidated	Claimant Filed Prepetition Claim	Reason(s) for Disallowance
1	Arno & Lucinda Honselaar c/o Honselaar Revocable Declaration Trust 9225 Ellingham St San Diego, CA 92129	7353	01/29/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$18.00 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
2	Charles Decker James Whitbeck, Attorney at Law 44 Phillips St Greenfield, MA 01301	7333	01/02/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$1,600.00 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
3	COREY ANN FORD 1665 RIVERSIDE DRIVE UNIT 12 ROCHESTER HILLS, MI 48309	7317	01/08/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$16,650.00 \$4,761.07 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
4	HUBERT AND WYLENE DARRISAW 3732 E GREENBRIAR RD AND HUBERT DARRISAW SR MACON, GA 31204	7423	01/16/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
5	Janice Marie Cross P.O. Box 2453 West Columbia, SC 29171	7332	01/16/2014	\$500,000.00 Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
6	Jayson J. Miller 726 North 150 East Springville, UT 84663	7346	01/17/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured \$1,562.52	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
7	Jean T. Feeney 5392 Evaline Street Las Vegas, NV 89120	7340	01/15/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured \$130,583.95 \$395,661.24	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
8	Jena T. Feeney 5392 Evaline Street Las Vegas, NV 89120	7448	01/17/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured \$130,583.95 \$395,661.24	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
9	Karen Monaldi 285 Muirfield Dr Valparaiso, IN 46385	7449	02/20/2014	\$500,000.00 Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)  
EXHIBIT D  
SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION - NO BASIS / NO LIABILITY (BORROWER ADMIN CLAIMS)

Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged									
	Name of Claimant	Claim Number	Date Filed	Claim Amount	Asserted Debtor Name	Asserted Case Number	Unliquidated	Claimant Filed Prepetition Claim	Reason(s) for Disallowance
10	Linda Kay Pavelovich 730 Carlton Road Watsonville, CA 95076	7331	01/15/2014	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority \$1,838.42 General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
11	SEAN BOOKER AND ALICE MCKENZIE 33818 CASTIGLIONE ST NEW ORLEANS, LA 70119	7410	01/06/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
12	SEAN BOOKER AND ALICE MCKENZIE 33818 CASTIGLIONE ST NEW ORLEANS, LA 70119	7414	01/09/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.
13	Torri P. Telfair-Pierce 4440 NW 12th Court Lauderhill, FL 33313	7341	01/15/2014	UNLIQUIDATED Administrative Priority Administrative Secured Secured Priority General Unsecured	Residential Capital, LLC	12-12020	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Claimant was timely served with the Bar Date Notice and Admin Bar Date Notice at same address listed in the Debtors' records. There is no basis for an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503 of the Bankruptcy Code. Claimant never filed a claim by the November 16, 2012 Bar Date.

**Presentment Date and Time: December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (ET)**  
**Objection Deadline: December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)**

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

_____	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
_____	)	

**NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO  
11 U.S.C. § 362(d) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that the undersigned will present the attached proposed *Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)* (the “**Stipulation and Order**”), to the Honorable Martin Glenn, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “**Bankruptcy Court**”), Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Room 501, for signature on **December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)**.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that objections, if any, to the Stipulation and Order must be made in writing, conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the

Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 141], be filed electronically by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's electronic case filing system, and be served, so as to be received no later than **December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)**, upon (a) counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Norman S. Rosenbaum, Erica J. Richards, and James A. Newton); (b) co-counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Kenneth H. Eckstein, Douglas H. Mannal, and Joseph A. Shifer); (c) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, NY 10014 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Linda A. Riffkin and Brian S. Masumoto); (d) the Office of the United States Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001 (Attn: U.S. Attorney General, Eric H. Holder, Jr.); (e) Office of the New York State Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341 (Attn: Nancy Lord, Esq. and Enid N. Stuart, Esq.); (f) Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, One St. Andrews Plaza, New York, NY 10007 (Attn: Joseph N. Cordaro, Esq.); (g) counsel for Ally Financial Inc., Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 153 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022 (Attn: Richard M. Cieri); (h) counsel to Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent for the DIP lenders, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, Four Times Square, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Ken Ziman & Jonathan H. Hofer); (i) counsel for Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, Clifford Chance US LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Jennifer C. DeMarco & Adam Lesman); (j) counsel for Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Seth

Goldman & Thomas B. Walper); (k) Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7346, Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346 (if by overnight mail, to 2970 Market Street, Mail Stop 5-Q30.133, Philadelphia, PA 19104-5016); (l) Securities and Exchange Commission, New York Regional Office, 3 World Financial Center, Suite 400, New York, NY 10281-1022 (Attn: George S. Canellos, Regional Director); and (m) counsel for the Requesting Party, Shapiro, Dicaro & Barak, LLC, 105 Maxess Road, Suite N109, Melville, NY 11747 (Attn: Shari S. Barak).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that, if no objections to the Stipulation and Order are timely filed, served and received in accordance with this Notice, the Court may enter the Stipulation and Order without further notice or hearing.

Dated: December 22, 2014  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton  
**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**WHEREAS** each of the parties listed on **Exhibit 1** hereto (each a “**Requesting Party**” and collectively, the “**Requesting Parties**”) asserts that it holds a senior mortgage or security interest in the respective properties set forth on **Exhibit 1** hereto (each, a “**Mortgaged Property**” and collectively the “**Mortgaged Properties**”);

**WHEREAS** undersigned counsel represents each of the Requesting Parties;

**WHEREAS** the Requesting Parties have obtained foreclosure or other title searches in connection with the pending or prospective foreclosure of each of the respective Mortgaged Properties which indicates that one of the above-captioned debtors (the “**Debtors**”) may hold a lien on the Mortgaged Property;

**WHEREAS** the Requesting Parties have requested (each a “**Request**,” and collectively, the “**Requests**”) that the Debtors consent to relief from the automatic stay, pursuant to section 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), in order to permit the Requesting Parties to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of their respective interests in the Mortgaged Properties;

**WHEREAS** the Debtors and the ResCap Liquidating Trust, following a review of their records, have determined that to the best of their knowledge they never did, or no longer, hold an interest in the

Mortgaged Properties, although, in some instances, an assignment of the Debtors' mortgage has not yet been filed;

**WHEREAS** the Debtors and the ResCap Liquidating Trust have agreed to consent to the Requests on the terms and conditions contained in this Stipulation and Order to permit the Requesting Parties to proceed with foreclosures without violating the automatic stay;

**WHEREAS** this Stipulation and Order is not being submitted under the Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court in the *Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 362(d) for Entry of an Order Approving Procedures by Which Third Parties May Request and Obtain Stipulated Relief from the Automatic Stay to Commence or Continue Actions to Foreclose Senior Liens* [Docket No. 1824] due to the omnibus nature of the relief agreed upon;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Debtors and each Requesting Party, each solely in respect of the Mortgaged Properties for which such Requesting Party seeks relief from the automatic stay, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Requests are granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow each of the Requesting Parties to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the respective Mortgaged Property, as specified on **Exhibit 1** hereto.
3. By entering into this Stipulation and Order, (i) counsel for the Requesting Party hereby represents that it is authorized to execute this Stipulation and Order on behalf of each of the

Requesting Parties and (ii) each Requesting Party hereby represents, with respect to each Mortgage Property for which it acts as the Requesting Party, that it is authorized to seek relief from the automatic stay and execute this Stipulation and Order on behalf of the respective lienholder, as identified on **Exhibit 1** hereto.

4. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be deemed to affect in any way the rights of any entity, including the Debtors or The ResCap Liquidating Trust, to contest in any foreclosure proceeding the validity or relative priority of the applicable Requesting Party's mortgage and security interest in the respective Mortgaged Property relative to any other security interest in such Mortgaged Property.

5. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be construed to provide for the annulment of or any other retroactive relief from the automatic stay.

6. Each Requesting Party shall provide due notice to all parties required by applicable law to receive notice, as well as to the Debtors and The ResCap Liquidating Trust<sup>1</sup>, Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup>, and Green Tree Servicing LLC<sup>3</sup>, in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the respective Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of such Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent the Requesting Party would be required

---

<sup>1</sup> Notices should be served on the Debtors and The ResCap Liquidating Trust, at Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 and 8400 Normandale Lake Boulevard, Bloomington, MN 55437.

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

<sup>3</sup> Notices should be served on Green Tree Servicing LLC via email at: [Foreclosure\\_Team@gt-cs.com](mailto:Foreclosure_Team@gt-cs.com) or via mail addressed to: Green Tree Servicing LLC, Mailstop: R214, 1400 Turbine Drive, Rapid City, SD 57703.

by applicable state law to provide notice to the holder of any other security interest in such Mortgaged Property.

7. A Requesting Party and the Debtors may agree, in a signed writing, to a modification of this Stipulation and Order solely with respect to the application of this Stipulation and Order to such Requesting Party, and such signed writing shall not be deemed to affect in any manner the application of this Stipulation and Order to any other Requesting Party, provided, however, that except as specifically set forth in this paragraph, this Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by further order of the Court.

8. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

9. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Each Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

10. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>THE RESCAP LIQUIDATING TRUST</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u>  Norman S. Rosenbaum  Erica J. Richards  James A. Newton  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>  250 West 55th Street  New York, New York 10019  Telephone: (212) 468-8000  Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Debtors  and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>EACH OF THE REQUESTING PARTIES  SET FORTH ON <b><u>EXHIBIT 1</u></b>, HERETO,  EACH SOLELY IN RESPECT OF THE  MORTGAGED PROPERTY FOR WHICH  IT SEEKS RELIEF FROM THE  AUTOMATIC STAY</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Shari S. Barak</u>  Shari S. Barak  <b>SHAPIRO, DICARO &amp; BARAK, LLC</b>  105 Maxess Road, Suite N109  Melville, New York 11747  Telephone: (631) 844-9611  Facsimile: (631) 844-9525</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Requesting Parties</i></p>
--	---

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED

This \_\_\_\_ day of December, 2014, in New York, NY.

---

**HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

**EXHIBIT 1**

	<b>REQUESTING PARTY</b>	<b>LIENHOLDER</b>	<b>BORROWER NAME(S)</b>	<b>ADDRESS</b>
1	REGIONS MORTGAGE	REGIONS BANK DBA REGIONS MORTGAGE	BARAJAS , IRMA	943 SRAND FLEET DRIVE, ANTIOCH, TN 37013
2	SETERUS, INC.	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	DATUS, DEAN AND MICHELLE	212 CLEARLAKE DRIVE, LA VERGNE, TN 37086
3	SETERUS, INC.	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	GODWIN, JOHNNIE F, SR. AND DEBRA A.	6950 PARK DRIVE, LYLES, TN 37098

**Presentment Date and Time: December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (ET)**  
**Objection Deadline: December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)**

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO  
11 U.S.C. § 362(d) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that pursuant to the *Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 362(d) for Entry of an Order Approving Procedures by Which Third Parties May Request and Obtain Stipulated Relief from the Automatic Stay to Commence or Continue Actions to Foreclose Senior Liens* [Docket No. 1824], the undersigned will present the attached proposed *Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)* (the “**Stipulation and Order**”), to the Honorable Martin Glenn, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “**Bankruptcy Court**”), Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling

Green, New York, New York 10004, Room 501, for signature on **December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time).**

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that objections, if any, to the Stipulation and Order must be made in writing, conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 141], be filed electronically by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's electronic case filing system, and be served, so as to be received no later than **December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)**, upon (a) counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Norman S. Rosenbaum, Erica J. Richards, and James A. Newton); (b) co-counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Kenneth H. Eckstein, Douglas H. Mannal, and Joseph A. Shifer); (c) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, NY 10014 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Linda A. Riffkin and Brian S. Masumoto); (d) the Office of the United States Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001 (Attn: U.S. Attorney General, Eric H. Holder, Jr.); (e) Office of the New York State Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341 (Attn: Nancy Lord, Esq. and Enid N. Stuart, Esq.); (f) Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, One St. Andrews Plaza, New York, NY 10007 (Attn: Joseph N. Cordaro, Esq.); (g) counsel for Ally Financial Inc., Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 153 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022 (Attn: Richard M. Cieri); (h) counsel to Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent for the DIP lenders, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, Four

Times Square, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Ken Ziman & Jonathan H. Hofer); (i) counsel for Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, Clifford Chance US LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Jennifer C. DeMarco & Adam Lesman); (j) counsel for Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Seth Goldman & Thomas B. Walper); (k) Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7346, Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346 (if by overnight mail, to 2970 Market Street, Mail Stop 5-Q30.133, Philadelphia, PA 19104-5016); (l) Securities and Exchange Commission, New York Regional Office, 3 World Financial Center, Suite 400, New York, NY 10281-1022 (Attn: George S. Canellos, Regional Director); and (m) counsel for the Requesting Party, Cohn & Roth, 100 E. Old Country Road Mineola, NY 11501 (Attn: Michael H. Cohn).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that, if no objections to the Stipulation and Order are timely filed, served and received in accordance with this Notice, the Court may enter the Stipulation and Order without further notice or hearing.

Dated: December 22, 2014  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton  
**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Post-Effective Date Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated October 15, 2012 (Docket No. 1824) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>1</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interest; and U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. as Trustee for VOLT Asset Holdings Trust XVI (“Requesting Party”), having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with the property of Larry Hilliard and Latonya Hilliard with an address of 674 Lakeside Drive, Springfield, TN 37172 (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned Debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”),

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

NOW, THEREFOR, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Parties to this Stipulation and Order, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Request is granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Requesting Party to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.
3. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be deemed to affect in any way the rights of any entity, including the Debtors or The ResCap Liquidating Trust, to contest in any foreclosure proceeding the validity or relative priority of the applicable Requesting Party's mortgage and security interest in the respective Mortgaged Property relative to any other security interest in such Mortgaged Property.
4. Requesting Party shall provide due notice to the Debtors and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup> in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent notice to a junior lienholder is required by applicable state law.
5. To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to all entities holding valid and enforceable liens on the Mortgaged Property that are senior to the lien which the applicable land records indicate is held or serviced by the Debtors,

---

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC via wire transfer.

6. This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

7. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u> Norman S. Rosenbaum Erica J. Richards James A. Newton <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b> 250 West 55th Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 468-8000 Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>U.S. BANK TRUST, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR VOLT ASSET HOLDINGS TRUST XVI</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Michael H. Cohn</u> Michael H. Cohn <b>COHN &amp; ROTH</b> 100 E. Old Country Road Mineola, New York 11501 Telephone: (516) 747-3030 Facsimile: (516) 747-3046</p> <p><i>Counsel for U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. as Trustee for VOLT Asset Holdings Trust XVI</i></p>
---	---

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED

This \_\_\_\_ day of December, 2014, in New York, NY.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

**Presentment Date and Time: December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (ET)**  
**Objection Deadline: December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)**

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO  
11 U.S.C. § 362(d) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that pursuant to the *Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 362(d) for Entry of an Order Approving Procedures by Which Third Parties May Request and Obtain Stipulated Relief from the Automatic Stay to Commence or Continue Actions to Foreclose Senior Liens* [Docket No. 1824], the undersigned will present the attached proposed *Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)* (the “**Stipulation and Order**”), to the Honorable Martin Glenn, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “**Bankruptcy Court**”), Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling

Green, New York, New York 10004, Room 501, for signature on **December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time).**

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that objections, if any, to the Stipulation and Order must be made in writing, conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 141], be filed electronically by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's electronic case filing system, and be served, so as to be received no later than **December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)**, upon (a) counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Norman S. Rosenbaum, Erica J. Richards, and James A. Newton); (b) co-counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Kenneth H. Eckstein, Douglas H. Mannal, and Joseph A. Shifer); (c) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, NY 10014 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Linda A. Riffkin and Brian S. Masumoto); (d) the Office of the United States Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001 (Attn: U.S. Attorney General, Eric H. Holder, Jr.); (e) Office of the New York State Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341 (Attn: Nancy Lord, Esq. and Enid N. Stuart, Esq.); (f) Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, One St. Andrews Plaza, New York, NY 10007 (Attn: Joseph N. Cordaro, Esq.); (g) counsel for Ally Financial Inc., Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 153 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022 (Attn: Richard M. Cieri); (h) counsel to Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent for the DIP lenders, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, Four

Times Square, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Ken Ziman & Jonathan H. Hofer); (i) counsel for Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, Clifford Chance US LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Jennifer C. DeMarco & Adam Lesman); (j) counsel for Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Seth Goldman & Thomas B. Walper); (k) Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7346, Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346 (if by overnight mail, to 2970 Market Street, Mail Stop 5-Q30.133, Philadelphia, PA 19104-5016); (l) Securities and Exchange Commission, New York Regional Office, 3 World Financial Center, Suite 400, New York, NY 10281-1022 (Attn: George S. Canellos, Regional Director); and (m) counsel for the Requesting Party, Rosicki, Rosicki, Associates, P.C., 51 E. Bethpage Road, Plainview, NY 11803 (Attn: Eric S. Sheidlowner).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that, if no objections to the Stipulation and Order are timely filed, served and received in accordance with this Notice, the Court may enter the Stipulation and Order without further notice or hearing.

Dated: December 22, 2014  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton  
**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Post-Effective Date Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated October 15, 2012 (Docket No. 1824) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>1</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interest; and Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. (“Requesting Party”), as Servicer for Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, on behalf of the registered Holders of Bear Stearns Asset-Backed Securities I Trust 2007-AC2, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-AC2; having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with the property of Tony R. Curtis and Magdaly M. Curtis with an address of 22553 Southwest 89th Place, Cutler Bay, FL 33190 (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned Debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”),

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

NOW, THEREFOR, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Parties to this Stipulation and Order, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Request is granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Requesting Party to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.
3. Requesting Party shall provide due notice to the Debtors and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup> in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent notice to a junior lienholder is required by applicable state law.
4. To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to all entities holding valid and enforceable liens on the Mortgaged Property that are senior to the lien which the applicable land records indicate is held or serviced by the Debtors, such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC via wire transfer.
5. By entering into this Stipulation and Order, Requesting Party hereby represents that it is an agent for and has the authority to seek relief from the automatic stay on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, on behalf of the registered Holders of Bear Stearns Asset-Backed Securities I Trust 2007-AC2, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-AC2.

---

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

6. This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

7. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u>  Norman S. Rosenbaum  Erica J. Richards  James A. Newton  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>  250 West 55th Street  New York, New York 10019  Telephone: (212) 468-8000  Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC., AS  SERVICER FOR WELLS FARGO BANK,  NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ON BEHALF OF  THE REGISTERED HOLDERS OF BEAR  STEARNS ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES I  TRUST 2007-AC2, ASSET-BACKED  CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-AC2</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Eric S. Sheidlower</u>  Eric S. Sheidlower  <b>ROSICKI, ROSICKI &amp; ASSOCIATES, P.C.</b>  51 E. Bethpage Road  Plainview, New York 11803  Telephone: (516)741-2585  Facsimile: (516) 873-7243</p> <p><i>Counsel for Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.</i></p>
--	--

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED

This \_\_\_\_ day of December, 2014, in New York, NY.

---

**HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

**Presentment Date and Time: December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (ET)**  
**Objection Deadline: December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)**

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
-----	)	

**NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO  
11 U.S.C. § 362(d) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that pursuant to the *Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 362(d) for Entry of an Order Approving Procedures by Which Third Parties May Request and Obtain Stipulated Relief from the Automatic Stay to Commence or Continue Actions to Foreclose Senior Liens* [Docket No. 1824], the undersigned will present the attached proposed *Stipulation and Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) Modifying the Automatic Stay Imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)* (the “**Stipulation and Order**”), to the Honorable Martin Glenn, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “**Bankruptcy Court**”), Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling

Green, New York, New York 10004, Room 501, for signature on **December 31, 2014 at 12:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time).**

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that objections, if any, to the Stipulation and Order must be made in writing, conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 141], be filed electronically by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's electronic case filing system, and be served, so as to be received no later than **December 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)**, upon (a) counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Morrison & Foerster LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Norman S. Rosenbaum, Erica J. Richards, and James A. Newton); (b) co-counsel for The ResCap Liquidating Trust, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Kenneth H. Eckstein, Douglas H. Mannal, and Joseph A. Shifer); (c) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, NY 10014 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Linda A. Riffkin and Brian S. Masumoto); (d) the Office of the United States Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001 (Attn: U.S. Attorney General, Eric H. Holder, Jr.); (e) Office of the New York State Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224-0341 (Attn: Nancy Lord, Esq. and Enid N. Stuart, Esq.); (f) Office of the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, One St. Andrews Plaza, New York, NY 10007 (Attn: Joseph N. Cordaro, Esq.); (g) counsel for Ally Financial Inc., Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 153 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022 (Attn: Richard M. Cieri); (h) counsel to Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent for the DIP lenders, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, Four

Times Square, New York, NY 10036 (Attn: Ken Ziman & Jonathan H. Hofer); (i) counsel for Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, Clifford Chance US LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019 (Attn: Jennifer C. DeMarco & Adam Lesman); (j) counsel for Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, 355 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Seth Goldman & Thomas B. Walper); (k) Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7346, Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346 (if by overnight mail, to 2970 Market Street, Mail Stop 5-Q30.133, Philadelphia, PA 19104-5016); (l) Securities and Exchange Commission, New York Regional Office, 3 World Financial Center, Suite 400, New York, NY 10281-1022 (Attn: George S. Canellos, Regional Director); and (m) counsel for the Requesting Party, Rosicki, Rosicki, Associates, P.C., 51 E. Bethpage Road, Plainview, NY 11803 (Attn: Eric S. Sheidlowner).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that, if no objections to the Stipulation and Order are timely filed, served and received in accordance with this Notice, the Court may enter the Stipulation and Order without further notice or hearing.

Dated: December 22, 2014  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Norman S. Rosenbaum  
Erica J. Richards  
James A. Newton  
**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**  
250 West 55th Street  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 468-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  
and The ResCap Liquidating Trust*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

_____	)	
In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Post-Effective Date Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
_____	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated October 15, 2012 (Docket No. 1824) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>1</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interest; and Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. (“Requesting Party”), as Servicer for U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, on behalf of the holders of the CSFB Home Equity Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-AGE1; having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with the property of Clyde R. Ritter and Carrie M. Ritter with an address of 1930 North Twisted Oak Dr., Little River, SC 29566 (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned Debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”),

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

NOW, THEREFOR, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Parties to this Stipulation and Order, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Request is granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Requesting Party to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.
3. Requesting Party shall provide due notice to the Debtors and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup> in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent notice to a junior lienholder is required by applicable state law.
4. To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to all entities holding valid and enforceable liens on the Mortgaged Property that are senior to the lien which the applicable land records indicate is held or serviced by the Debtors, such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC via wire transfer.
5. By entering into this Stipulation and Order, Requesting Party hereby represents that it is an agent for and has the authority to seek relief from the automatic stay on behalf of U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, on behalf of the holders of the CSFB Home Equity Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-AGE1.

---

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

6. This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

7. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC  By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u> Norman S. Rosenbaum Erica J. Richards James A. Newton <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b> 250 West 55th Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 468-8000 Facsimile: (212) 468-7900  <i>Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors          and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i>	U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF THE HOLDERS OF THE CSFB HOME EQUITY PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-AGE1  By: <u>/s/ Eric S. Sheidlower</u> Eric S. Sheidlower <b>ROSICKI, ROSICKI, ASSOCIATES, P.C.</b> 51 E. Bethpage Road Plainview, New York 11803 Telephone: (516)741-2585 Facsimile: (516) 873-7243  <i>Counsel for U.S. Bank National Association,</i>
---	---

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED

This \_\_\_\_ day of December, 2014, in New York, NY.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	)	
	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**WHEREAS** each of the parties listed on **Exhibit 1** hereto (each a “**Requesting Party**” and collectively, the “**Requesting Parties**”) asserts that it holds a senior mortgage or security interest in the respective properties set forth on **Exhibit 1** hereto (each, a “**Mortgaged Property**” and collectively the “**Mortgaged Properties**”);

**WHEREAS** undersigned counsel represents each of the Requesting Parties;

**WHEREAS** the Requesting Parties have obtained foreclosure or other title searches in connection with the pending or prospective foreclosure of each of the respective Mortgaged Properties which indicates that one of the above-captioned debtors (the “**Debtors**”) may hold a lien on the Mortgaged Property;

**WHEREAS** the Requesting Parties have requested (each a “**Request**,” and collectively, the “**Requests**”) that the Debtors consent to relief from the automatic stay, pursuant to section 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), in order to permit the Requesting Parties to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of their respective interests in the Mortgaged Properties;

**WHEREAS** the Debtors and the ResCap Liquidating Trust, following a review of their records, have determined that to the best of their knowledge they never did, or no longer, hold an interest in the Mortgaged Properties, although, in some instances, an assignment of the Debtors’ mortgage has not yet been filed;

**WHEREAS** the Debtors and the ResCap Liquidating Trust have agreed to consent to the Requests on the terms and conditions contained in this Stipulation and Order to permit the Requesting Parties to proceed with foreclosures without violating the automatic stay;

**WHEREAS** this Stipulation and Order is not being submitted under the Procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court in the *Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105(a) and 362(d) for Entry of an Order Approving Procedures by Which Third Parties May Request and Obtain Stipulated Relief from the Automatic Stay to Commence or Continue Actions to Foreclose Senior Liens* [Docket No. 1824] due to the omnibus nature of the relief agreed upon;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Debtors and each Requesting Party, each solely in respect of the Mortgaged Properties for which such Requesting Party seeks relief from the automatic stay, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Requests are granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow each of the Requesting Parties to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the respective Mortgaged Property, as specified on **Exhibit 1** hereto.

3. By entering into this Stipulation and Order, (i) counsel for the Requesting Party hereby represents that it is authorized to execute this Stipulation and Order on behalf of each of the Requesting Parties and (ii) each Requesting Party hereby represents, with respect to each Mortgage Property for which it acts as the Requesting Party, that it is authorized to seek relief from the automatic

stay and execute this Stipulation and Order on behalf of the respective lienholder, as identified on **Exhibit 1** hereto.

4. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be deemed to affect in any way the rights of any entity, including the Debtors or The ResCap Liquidating Trust, to contest in any foreclosure proceeding the validity or relative priority of the applicable Requesting Party's mortgage and security interest in the respective Mortgaged Property relative to any other security interest in such Mortgaged Property.

5. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be construed to provide for the annulment of or any other retroactive relief from the automatic stay.

6. Each Requesting Party shall provide due notice to all parties required by applicable law to receive notice, as well as to the Debtors and The ResCap Liquidating Trust<sup>1</sup>, Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup>, and Green Tree Servicing LLC<sup>3</sup>, in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the respective Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of such Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent the Requesting Party would be required by applicable state law to provide notice to the holder of any other security interest in such Mortgaged Property.

---

<sup>1</sup> Notices should be served on the Debtors and The ResCap Liquidating Trust, at Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808 and 8400 Normandale Lake Boulevard, Bloomington, MN 55437.

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

<sup>3</sup> Notices should be served on Green Tree Servicing LLC via email at: [Foreclosure\\_Team@gt-cs.com](mailto:Foreclosure_Team@gt-cs.com) or via mail addressed to: Green Tree Servicing LLC, Mailstop: R214, 1400 Turbine Drive, Rapid City, SD 57703.

7. A Requesting Party and the Debtors may agree, in a signed writing, to a modification of this Stipulation and Order solely with respect to the application of this Stipulation and Order to such Requesting Party, and such signed writing shall not be deemed to affect in any manner the application of this Stipulation and Order to any other Requesting Party, provided, however, that except as specifically set forth in this paragraph, this Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by further order of the Court.

8. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

9. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Each Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

10. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>THE RESCAP LIQUIDATING TRUST</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u>  Norman S. Rosenbaum  Erica J. Richards  James A. Newton  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>  250 West 55th Street  New York, New York 10019  Telephone: (212) 468-8000  Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Debtors  and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>EACH OF THE REQUESTING PARTIES  SET FORTH ON <b><u>EXHIBIT 1</u></b>, HERETO,  EACH SOLELY IN RESPECT OF THE  MORTGAGED PROPERTY FOR WHICH  IT SEEKS RELIEF FROM THE  AUTOMATIC STAY</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Shari S. Barak</u>  Shari S. Barak  <b>SHAPIRO, DICARO &amp; BARAK, LLC</b>  105 Maxess Road, Suite N109  Melville, New York 11747  Telephone: (631) 844-9611  Facsimile: (631) 844-9525</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Requesting Parties</i></p>
--	---

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 31, 2014  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**EXHIBIT 1**

	<b>REQUESTING PARTY</b>	<b>LIENHOLDER</b>	<b>BORROWER NAME(S)</b>	<b>ADDRESS</b>
1	REGIONS MORTGAGE	REGIONS BANK DBA REGIONS MORTGAGE	BARAJAS , IRMA	943 SRAND FLEET DRIVE, ANTIOCH, TN 37013
2	SETERUS, INC.	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	DATUS, DEAN AND MICHELLE	212 CLEARLAKE DRIVE, LA VERGNE, TN 37086
3	SETERUS, INC.	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION	GODWIN, JOHNNIE F, SR. AND DEBRA A.	6950 PARK DRIVE, LYLES, TN 37098

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

----- )  
In re: ) Case No. 12-12020 (MG)  
 )  
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, *et al.*, ) Chapter 11  
 )  
Debtors. ) Jointly Administered  
----- )

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated October 15, 2012 (Docket No. 1824) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>1</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interest; and U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. as Trustee for VOLT Asset Holdings Trust XVI (“Requesting Party”), having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with the property of Larry Hilliard and Latonya Hilliard with an address of 674 Lakeside Drive, Springfield, TN 37172 (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned Debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”),

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

NOW, THEREFOR, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Parties to this Stipulation and Order, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Request is granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Requesting Party to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.
3. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be deemed to affect in any way the rights of any entity, including the Debtors or The ResCap Liquidating Trust, to contest in any foreclosure proceeding the validity or relative priority of the applicable Requesting Party's mortgage and security interest in the respective Mortgaged Property relative to any other security interest in such Mortgaged Property.
4. Requesting Party shall provide due notice to the Debtors and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup> in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent notice to a junior lienholder is required by applicable state law.
5. To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to all entities holding valid and enforceable liens on the Mortgaged Property that are senior to the lien which the applicable land records indicate is held or serviced by the Debtors,

---

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC via wire transfer.

6. This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

7. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u>  Norman S. Rosenbaum  Erica J. Richards  James A. Newton  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>  250 West 55th Street  New York, New York 10019  Telephone: (212) 468-8000  Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>U.S. BANK TRUST, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR  VOLT ASSET HOLDINGS TRUST XVI</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Michael H. Cohn</u>  Michael H. Cohn  <b>COHN &amp; ROTH</b>  100 E. Old Country Road  Mineola, New York 11501  Telephone: (516) 747-3030  Facsimile: (516) 747-3046</p> <p><i>Counsel for U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. as Trustee for  VOLT Asset Holdings Trust XVI</i></p>
--	---

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 31, 2014  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

\_\_\_\_\_  
In re: )  
 ) Case No. 12-12020 (MG)  
 )  
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, *et al.*, ) Chapter 11  
 )  
Debtors. ) Jointly Administered  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated October 15, 2012 (Docket No. 1824) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>1</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interest; and Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. (“Requesting Party”), as Servicer for Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, on behalf of the registered Holders of Bear Stearns Asset-Backed Securities I Trust 2007-AC2, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-AC2; having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with the property of Tony R. Curtis and Magdaly M. Curtis with an address of 22553 Southwest 89th Place, Cutler Bay, FL 33190 (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned Debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”),

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

NOW, THEREFOR, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Parties to this Stipulation and Order, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Request is granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Requesting Party to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.
3. Requesting Party shall provide due notice to the Debtors and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup> in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent notice to a junior lienholder is required by applicable state law.
4. To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to all entities holding valid and enforceable liens on the Mortgaged Property that are senior to the lien which the applicable land records indicate is held or serviced by the Debtors, such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC via wire transfer.
5. By entering into this Stipulation and Order, Requesting Party hereby represents that it is an agent for and has the authority to seek relief from the automatic stay on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, on behalf of the registered Holders of Bear Stearns Asset-Backed Securities I Trust 2007-AC2, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-AC2.

---

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

6. This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

7. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u>  Norman S. Rosenbaum  Erica J. Richards  James A. Newton  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>  250 West 55th Street  New York, New York 10019  Telephone: (212) 468-8000  Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC., AS  SERVICER FOR WELLS FARGO BANK,  NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, ON BEHALF OF  THE REGISTERED HOLDERS OF BEAR  STEARNS ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES I  TRUST 2007-AC2, ASSET-BACKED  CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-AC2</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Eric S. Sheidlower</u>  Eric S. Sheidlower  <b>ROSICKI, ROSICKI &amp; ASSOCIATES, P.C.</b>  51 E. Bethpage Road  Plainview, New York 11803  Telephone: (516)741-2585  Facsimile: (516) 873-7243</p> <p><i>Counsel for Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.</i></p>
--	--

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 31, 2014  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	)	
	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
	)	

**STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Pursuant to the order, dated October 15, 2012 (Docket No. 1824) (the “Procedures Order”),<sup>1</sup> pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) establishing procedures (the “Stay Relief Procedures”) for requesting relief from the automatic stay to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of a senior mortgage and security interest on lands and premises with respect to which the Debtors hold or service a subordinate mortgage and security interest; and Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. (“Requesting Party”), as Servicer for U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, on behalf of the holders of the CSFB Home Equity Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-AGE1; having requested (the “Request”) relief from the automatic stay in accordance with the Stay Relief Procedures in connection with the property of Clyde R. Ritter and Carrie M. Ritter with an address of 1930 North Twisted Oak Dr., Little River, SC 29566 (the “Mortgaged Property”) and due and proper notice of the Request having been made on all necessary parties; and the above-captioned Debtors (the “Debtors”) having consented to the relief sought in the Request on the terms and conditions contained in this stipulation and order (“Stipulation and Order”),

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Procedures Order.

NOW, THEREFOR, it is hereby stipulated and agreed as between the Parties to this Stipulation and Order, through their undersigned counsel; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing, it is hereby

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Request is granted as set forth herein.
2. To the extent applicable, the automatic stay imposed in this case by section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is modified under section 362(d) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent necessary to allow Requesting Party to commence and/or complete the foreclosure of the mortgage and security interest it holds on the Mortgaged Property.
3. Requesting Party shall provide due notice to the Debtors and Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC<sup>2</sup> in connection with any action to be taken with respect to the Mortgaged Property, including, but not limited to, proceeding with a sale of the Mortgaged Property, in accordance with and to the extent notice to a junior lienholder is required by applicable state law.
4. To the extent proceeds from any sale of the Mortgaged Property exceed the valid amounts due and owing to all entities holding valid and enforceable liens on the Mortgaged Property that are senior to the lien which the applicable land records indicate is held or serviced by the Debtors, such proceeds shall be turned over within thirty (30) days after such sale is completed to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC via wire transfer.
5. By entering into this Stipulation and Order, Requesting Party hereby represents that it is an agent for and has the authority to seek relief from the automatic stay on behalf of U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, on behalf of the holders of the CSFB Home Equity Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-AGE1.

---

<sup>2</sup> Notices should be served on Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, at 1100 Virginia Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034 (Attn: Peter J. Mulcahy, Esq.).

6. This Stipulation and Order may not be modified other than by a signed writing executed by the Parties hereto or by further order of the Court.

7. This Stipulation and Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

8. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3), the 14-day stay of this Stipulation and Order imposed by such Bankruptcy Rule is waived. Requesting Party is authorized to implement the provisions of this Stipulation and Order immediately upon its entry.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and interpretation of this Stipulation and Order.

<p>RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u>  Norman S. Rosenbaum  Erica J. Richards  James A. Newton  <b>MORRISON &amp; FOERSTER LLP</b>  250 West 55th Street  New York, New York 10019  Telephone: (212) 468-8000  Facsimile: (212) 468-7900</p> <p><i>Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors  and The ResCap Liquidating Trust</i></p>	<p>U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,  AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF THE  HOLDERS OF THE CSFB HOME EQUITY  PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES  2005-AGE1</p> <p>By: <u>/s/ Eric S. Sheidlower</u>  Eric S. Sheidlower  <b>ROSICKI, ROSICKI, ASSOCIATES, P.C.</b>  51 E. Bethpage Road  Plainview, New York 11803  Telephone: (516)741-2585  Facsimile: (516) 873-7243</p> <p><i>Counsel for U.S. Bank National Association,</i></p>
--	--

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 31, 2014  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY  
COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF  
NEW YORK

TOM FRANKLIN

MOVANT

In re:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC

APPELLEE.

CASE NUMBER 12-12020 (MG)

**OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF STIPULATION AND ORDER  
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11  
U.S.C. § 362(a)**

**TOM FRANKLIN CONTENDS THAT THIS MOTION SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED**

**THE ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES**

The sufficiency of the complaint we follow, of course, the accepted rule that a complaint should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it appears beyond a reasonable doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief. **Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45—46 (1959)**. It is fairly obvious that in this particular case that there was a quick rush to **immediate and prior judicial bias** on the part of the trial judge to have this case which had dragged on in federal court for some time dismissed immediately in direct violation of the due process rights of the appellants. This is a rigorous standard, but subsumed within it is the requirement that the plaintiff state its case with enough clarity to enable a court or an opposing party to determine whether a claim is sufficiently alleged. **Elliott v. Fousa, 867 F.2d 877, 880 (5th Cir. 1989)**. In a Rule 12(b) (6) motion to dismiss, the allegations must be taken as true. **Malina v. Gonzales, 1 F.3d 304, 305 n.12 (5th Cir. 1993)**. An established fact which has been established in this appeal is that the first trial judge had established the fact that there was a federal question in this appeal. But after being transferred to a bias trial judge this case was never properly heard.

A complaint is not subject to dismissal unless it appears certain that the plaintiff

cannot possibly be entitled to relief under any set of facts that could be proved in support of its allegations. Cinel, 15 F.3d at 1341 Smith, Barney, Harris Upham & Co. v. Robinson, 12, F.3d 515, 520 (5th Cir. 19-94) white controlled boards saw fit to see that they were amply funded with funds which were diverted from blacks and browns such as the appellants. This particular trial judge was bias and let his personal feeling prevent him from checking out the fact even when the police had knowledge that could substantiate what the plaintiff was stating was the truth about drugs on the premises.

Rule 12(b) (6) was set out by the Supreme Court in Conley v. Gibson: The court goes on to further state that in appraising the (quoting Baton Rouge Bldg. & Construction Trades Council v. Jacobs. The Court has ruled that the non movant is entitled to the guards of the summary judgment rules. A non movant must have ten (10) days to respond. The final Judgment rules contained in section 1291 entitled “Final Decisions of the Supreme Court. The denial of a Rule 12(b) (6) Motion To Dismiss is interlocutory, and thus generally not appealable under 28 U.S.C. section 1291. Connelly v. Dulien Steel Products, Conversely, if the Court grants a Rule 12(b) motion, it is immediately appealable. The standard for determining whether a final judgment has been reached is whether the litigation has ended on the merits and nothing has been left for the Court to do but execute judgment. Coopers & Lybrand case 437 U.S. 463 (1978)

The exception to the rule against appeal denials of Motions To Dismiss is when the plaintiffs filed a civil rights action and the defendants respond with a motion to dismiss claiming immunity. Helton v. Cleinents, 787. There are many cases which are inappropriate for Summary Judgment, including those that rest in credibility determinations. Honore V. Douglas, 33 F.2d 565, 567 (5th Cir. 1987); Leonard v. Dixie Wells Supply Service, Inc., 828 F.2d 291, 294(5th Cir. 1987); questions of

motivation and intent, Thornburg v. Columbus & Greenville R.R. Co., 760 F.2d 633, 641 (5th Cir 1985); ambiguous contracts where parties' intent presents a question of fact, Fischbach, - First National Bank, 799 F.2d at 151; Southern Natural Gas, 781 F.2d t 1081; question whether an oral contract has been confected, Impossible Electronics Techniques, Inc. Wackenhut Protective System, Inc., 669 F.2d 1026, 1032 (5th Cir Unit B 1982) question whether a reasonable prudent investor should have constructively known certain facts based on information external to that ordinarily used by investors (i.e. offering memorandum and supporting documents and discussion with the promoter), Corwin v. M. Orton Investments, 843 F.2d 194, 198-99 (5th Cir. 1988); certain negligence and products liability cases, Waggoner v. City of Garland, Texas, 987 F.2d 1160, 1165 (5th Cir, 1993) . District Courts are widely knowledge to posses the power to enter summary judgments sua sponte, so long as the losing party was on notice that she had was on notice that she had to come forward with all her evidence. Celotex, 106 5. Ct. at 2554 (citing 10A C. Wright, A. Miller & M Kane, Federal Practice and Procedure section 2720 at 28-29 (1983) . A district court may grant summary judgment when the parties have been given adequate time for discovery. Page v. DeLaune, 837 F.2d 233, 238 (5~ Cir 1988) (citing Celotex, 106 S.Ct at 2554) . An important an interesting revelation in this particular case is why did not the trial jury allow discovery. It should be noted that on several occasions the appellants tried to seek assistance from the court for the continued reluctance of the appellees to provided the appellants with proper discovery as required by the Federal Rules of Civil procedure but on each occasions the appellees refused; After this deliberate refusal on the part of the appelles to provide discovery the to the appellants who had no other choice and alternative but to seek judicial remedies through the court as provided by the Federal Rules of Procedure; The trial judge for the most part continue to block justice by

refusing to honor their motion to compel. For the most part the appellant's case was over for he refused to allow them their right to discovery by compelling the other side to come forward with information to assist the appellants with their case. The Fifth Circuit strict construes the procedural safeguards of Rule 56, and a district court may not grant summary judgment sua sponte on the grounds not requested by the moving parties.

John Deere, 809 F.2d at 1992; but se Celotex, 106 S.Ct at 2554. Summary judgment

cannot be upheld where summary judgment is granted on grounds not urged in the motion

and the non movant is not given proper notice that the district court may grant summary

judgment on such grounds. John Deere Co. v. American National Bank,

Stafford, 809 F.2d 1190, 1191-2 (5th Cir. 1987) . Fifth Circuit decisions stress the importance of providing the non moving party with an opportunity to respond to and to

develop the record in opposition to requested summary judgment. Id at 1192.

provided to the jury. Rule 12(b) (6) motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief

can be granted:

The Motion shall be served at least 10 days before the time fixed for the hearing. In this particular case in so far as the appellants were concerned the trial judge did not schedule a hearing. The adverse party prior to the hearing which was never scheduled may serve opposing affidavits. The judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings. Summary judgment is inappropriate where there is a genuine

disagreement as to the reasonable inferences to be drawn from undisputed facts. **Fischbach**, 799 F.2d 297, 299 (5th Cir 1978); see **Stephen R. Ward, Inc.**, 681 F. Supp. At 392; **EEOC**, 657 F. Supp. At 743 (citing **Jones v. Western Geophysical Co. of America**, 669 F.2d 280, 283 (5th Cir. 1982); **Johnson v. Sawyer**, 640 F. Supp. 1126, 1130 (S.D. Tex. 1986) At the summary judgment stage the judge's function is not to weigh the evidence and determine the truth of the matter but to weigh the evidence whether there is a genuine issue for trial. **Anderson**, 106 5. Ct at 2511. **Wilkerson v. Columbus Separate School Dist.**, 985 F.2d 815, 817 (5th Cir. 1993); **Stephen R. Ward, Inc.**, 681 F. Supp. At 392. At 392; **Magee**, 673 F. Supp at 197; **EEOC**, 657 F. Supp at 743 299 (S.D. Tex 1986). The court must consider all the facts contained in the pleadings, depositions, admissions, answers to interrogatories, affidavits and inferences to be drawn therefrom. **Thomas v. N.A. Chase Manhattan Bank**, 1 F.3d 320, 323 (5th Cir. 1993). The judge is not to make credibility determinations, weigh evidence, or draw from the facts legitimate inferences for the movant. **Anderson**, 106 S.Ct. at 2513; **Lindsey v. Prive Corp.**, 987 F.2d 324, 326 (5th Cir. 1993) **Honore**, 833 F.2d at 567; Leonard, 828 F.2d at 294. The judge must view the evidence through the prism of the substantive evidentiary burden. The question is whether the jury could reasonably find the plaintiffs/appellants either did or did not prove his case by the quality and quantity of evidence required by the governing law. **Anderson**, 106 5. Ct. at 2513. All reasonable doubt as to the existence of a genuine issue of material fact must be resolved against the movant and in **light most favorable against the non moving party.** **Doe v. Taylor Independent School District**, 15 F.3d 443, 456 nil (5th Cir. 1994), petition for cert. Filed (June 1, 1994) **EEOC**, 657 F. Supp at 743.


An issue is genuine if the evidence supporting its resolution in favor of the party opposing summary judgment, together with any inference in such party's favor that

the evidence allows, would be sufficient to support a verdict in favor of the party. St. Amant, 806 F.2d at 1297; Professional Managers, 799 F.2d at 222; Stephen R. Ward Inc., 681 F.Supp. at 392; Samples, 673 F.Supp. at 1415. A crucial element and key in this particular case is that the trial judge and the Appellees went to great lengths to see that this case would not come to the public eyes. But the most serious injustice of this situation is that the discrimination in the federally funded program is still being allowed to continue. It is only the court who have been provided with the ability and constitutional right to monitor these processes and if they don't than no one else will. **It is obvious that the trial judge violated Rule 56(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that as an essential ingredient of the Federal Summary Judgment Scheme.** “ Any Potential problem with premature summary motion judgments can be adequately dealt with under Rule 56(f), which allows a summary motion to be denied, or the hearing on the motion to be continued; if the non moving party has not had an opportunity to have full discovery. See Bache, 840 F.2d at 292. (noting Celtex’s emphasis on Rule 56(f) as a device to obviate premature motions.) In considering a Motion to dismiss, district court would limit its review to factual allegations and accordingly, any affidavits submitted with regard to motion could not be considered. However, court could take judicial notice of matters of public record and documents incorporated by reference in the complaint-Clarry v. U.S., 891 F.Supp. 105. If a party moving for dismissal for failure to state a claim bring matters outside of the complaint to the courts’ attention, then motion should be considered as motion for summary judgment and all parties should be given opportunity to submit additional pertinent materials. Beeman v. Lacy, Katzen, Ryen & Mittlennan, 892 F. Supp. 405. When considering motion to dismiss based on lack of jurisdiction, court may consider outside proceedings. Liberty Cable Co., Inc. v. City of New York, 893 F.Supp. 191. In determining Motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, the

court could consider documents incorporated by reference into complaint. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b) (6), 28 U.S.C.A.-Lomaglio Associates Inc.. v. LBK Marketing Corp., 892 F. Supp. 89. The court cannot assume facts in favor of the defendant on Motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. Federal Rules Civ. Procedure Rule 12(b) (6), 28 U.S.C.A.—National Education Association- Rhode Island by Scigulinsky v. Retirement Board of Rhode Island Employees System v. Retirement Board System, 890 F. Supp. 1143. The court further states that the courts function in evaluating whether complaint states valid claim is not to weigh the evidence that might be presented at trial but merely to determine whether claim is legally deficient.-In re 72~ Street Realty Associates, 185 B.R. 460. The district court may convert motion to dismiss into summary judgment proceedings in order to consider matters outside of the complaint; however court must give both parties the opportunity to present to the court all the material made pertinent to such motion. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b) (6) 56, 28 U.S.C.A. -Brown v. Zavaras, 63 F.3d 967. A motion to dismiss for failure to state cause of action requires court to consider only pleadings actually filed, although all reasonable inferences from facts must be liberally construed in favor of the party whom motion is made. When deciding motion to dismiss it is proper for the district court to look beyond the jurisdictional allegations in the complaint and view all the evidence submitted. Osario v. Harza Engineering Company., 890 F.supp. 750. The standard approach to motion to dismiss requires the court to presume that all factual allegations in the complaint are true and to accord benefit of all reasonable inferences to the non moving party. Ambrose v. Blue shield, Inc., 891 F.Supp 1153. On Motion to dismiss based on jurisdictional issues, factual disputes created by conflicting statements are resolved in the plaintiff's favor-McCracken v. Automobile Club of Southern California, Inc., 891 F. Supp. 559. The appellants in this particular case

would like to point out that the trial judge held no hearings. Even when this case was in the newspapers. The appellants are puzzled for answers because only the trial judge can answer that. If the court does not hold hearings in connection with Motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, and relies on pleadings and affidavits, court must consider facts in light most favorable and resolve all factual conflicts in favor of that party. **Hot Stuff Food Systems, Inc. v. Griffin Petroleum, Inc., 891 F. Supp. 499.**

Respectfully submitted:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tom Franklin', written over a horizontal line.

TOM FRANKLIN, PRO SE


WHEREAS ALL FACTORS DULY TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION, The Plaintiff request that this motion be denied.

Dated: December 17, 2014  
Of Pro Se ,Counsel:

Respectfully submitted,

***TOM FRANKLIN Pro Se Appellant***

**5633 OAK GROVE ROAD  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76134**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "H. J. [unclear]", written in a cursive style.

Respectfully submitted:

Whereas all factor dully taken into consideration this claim should be allowed to continued.

**Other**

**Points:** \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

---


---

---

---

---

**RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:**



TOM FRANKLIN ET AL, PRO SE

5633 Oak Grove Road  
Fort Worth, Texas 76134

CERTIFICATE OF SERICE

I, Tom Franklin, hereby certify that on this 23<sup>rd</sup> ~~17<sup>th</sup>~~ day of December , 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing legal instrument was mailed, certified mail, return receipt requested to the following listed person who are entitled to have an interest in the out come of this case:

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP


250 West 55th Street

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 468-8000 Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

Norman S. Rosenbaum

Jordan A. Wishnew



Tom Franklin  
5800 Oak Grove Road  
Fort Worth, Texas 76134

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re: )  
 ) Case No. 12-12020 (MG)  
 )  
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, *et al.*, ) Chapter 11  
 )  
Debtors. ) Jointly Administered  
 )  
 )

**ORDER OVERRULING THE OBJECTION OF TOM FRANKLIN TO THE NOTICE OF  
PRESENTMENT OF STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)  
MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY IMPOSED BY 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)**

Tom Franklin objects to a stipulation and order lifting the automatic stay to permit a senior lien holder to foreclose on property as to which a Debtor(s) is a junior lienholder (the “Objection,” ECF Doc. # 7950). Four such stipulations have been presented and so ordered by the Court on December 31, 2014 (the “Stipulations,” ECF Doc. # 7933, 7934, 7935, 7936). It is unclear which stipulation or stipulations Franklin objects to. What is clear is that Franklin’s Objection was untimely as it was not received by the Court by the December 31, 2014 deadline for objections to the Stipulations. It is also clear that Franklin has no legal interest in any of the properties relevant to the Stipulations.

The Court finds and concludes that Franklin has no standing to object to the approval and entry of these Stipulations. Therefore, Franklin’s Objection is **OVERRULED** and the Stipulations are and remain approved.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 6, 2015  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	Chapter 11
	)	
Debtors.	)	Jointly Administered
	)	

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY COURT SHOULD NOT DETERMINE  
TOM FRANKLIN TO BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGATOR AND EITHER  
IMPOSE SANCTIONS OR ISSUE AN INJUNCTION ENJOINING  
FUTURE FILINGS BY FRANKLIN**

WHEREAS, Tom Franklin filed two claims, Claim Numbers 1195 and 7335 (the “Claims”), against Debtors EPRE LLC and Residential Capital, LLC (“ResCap”) in these chapter 11 proceedings. The Court expunged each of the Claims pursuant to Court orders. (*See* ECF Doc. ## 5049, 7086.) Franklin filed a notice of appeal as to each claim. (*See* ECF Doc. ## 5171, 7196.) Franklin’s appeal of this Court’s expungement of Claim Number 1195 was denied by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “District Court”), *see generally Franklin v. Residential Capital, LLC*, No. 13 Civ. 8317(PAE), 2014 WL 1760312 (S.D.N.Y. May 1, 2014), and his subsequent appeal to the Second Court remains pending (*see* Case No. 14-00418 (2d Cir.)). Franklin’s appeal of this Court’s order expunging Claim Number 7335 remains pending in the District Court. (*See* Case No. 15-00501.)

WHEREAS, Franklin filed a motion to reinstate Claim 1195 and a separate motion to compel discovery in these chapter 11 proceedings before this Court after filing one or both of his notices of appeal. (*See* ECF Doc. ## 7125, 7444.) The Court denied both of these motions holding that Franklin’s filing of a notice of appeal confers jurisdictions on the appellate court, and divests this Court of jurisdiction to act on matters that are subject to the appeal (the “Divestiture Orders,” ECF Doc. ## 7209, 7581). *See In Re Winimo Realty Corp. et al. v. City of*

*Albany, et al.*, 270 B.R. 99 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (citing *United States v. Rodgers*, 101 F.3d 247, 251 (2d Cir. 1996) (filing of notice of appeal divests lower court of jurisdiction over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal); *Hagel v. Drummand (In re Hagel)*, 184 B.R. 793, 798 (9th Cir. BAP 1995) (“The divestiture rule applies to appeals of bankruptcy proceedings. . . . A pending appeal . . . divests a bankruptcy court of jurisdiction.”); *In re Duratech Industries, Inc.*, 241 B.R. 283, 289 (E.D.N.Y. 1999); *In re Prudential Lines, Inc.*, 170 B.R. 222, 243 (S.D.N.Y. 1994)).

WHEREAS, Franklin filed a number of documents with the Court prior to the entry of the Court’s Divestiture Orders. Some of these documents were filed in response to objections filed by the Debtors or their successors-in-interest, the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust (the “Trust”) (*see, e.g.*, ECF Doc. ## 4282, 4961, 4980, 6955, 6967, 7043, and 7044); others were filed in response to various documents filed in these chapter 11 cases (*see, e.g.*, ECF Doc. ## 7043 (a purported opposition to a motion made by the Debtors’ parent company Ally Financial Inc., to enforce the Court’s order regarding the Plan Support Agreement), 7044 (a purported objection to the Debtors’ Sixty-First Omnibus Objection, to which Franklin was not subject)). None of these matters concern Franklin, and he lacks standing to object.

WHEREAS, Franklin also filed and continues to file documents after the entry of the Court’s Divestiture Orders. Some of these documents seek the reinstatement of one or both of his Claims similar to the prior motion denied by this Court. (*Compare* ECF Doc. # 7125, *with* ECF Doc. ## 7166, 7237.) Some of these documents seek to compel unspecified discovery in these chapter 11 proceedings similar to the prior motion to compel denied by this Court. (*Compare* ECF Doc. # 7444, *with* ECF Doc. ## 7546, 815, 8046.) Others were filed in response to various documents filed in these chapter 11 cases that do not concern Franklin. (*See, e.g.*, ECF Doc. ## 7395, 7602 (purported oppositions to motions made by the Debtors’ parent

company Ally Financial Inc. to enforce the Court’s orders imposing an injunction or lifting the automatic stay); 7707, 8016 (purported oppositions to motions made by ResCap to enforce the Court’s orders pursuant to sections 105(a) and 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or to expunge other creditors’ claims); 7950, 8017 (purported oppositions to unspecified stipulations, which Franklin failed to demonstrate a sufficient legal interest in to have standing to object (*see also* ECF Doc. # 7953 (overruling Franklins opposition filed at ECF Doc. # 7950 for lack of standing)); 7997, 8000, 8022, 8047, 8048 (purported oppositions or responses to various motions to lift the automatic stay currently pending before the Court).)

WHEREAS, in each instance prior to or after the entry of the Divestiture Orders, the papers Franklin has filed with the Court are substantially the same: copied and pasted excerpts from many cases wholly unconnected with these chapter 11 proceedings, an assertion that he is owed money by the “debtor” (without specifying which of the Debtors) on account of a “legitimate claim,” that he has suffered “extreme hardship,” and therefore expunging his claim would be “unjust.”

WHEREAS, this Court is authorized to impose sanctions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 against litigants who knowingly file frivolous papers designed to harass other parties or create unnecessary delay or cost in a matter. *See Sathianathan v. Smith Barney, Inc.*, No. 04 Civ. 7122 (DAB)(FM), 2006 WL 538152, at \*32 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 24, 2006). This Court is further authorized to restrict the activity of abusive litigants by way of the issuance of an injunction. *See, e.g., In re Truong*, No. 09-11047 (MG), 2009 WL 2929261, at \*7 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Sept. 3, 2009) (citing *Abdul-Akbar v. Watson*, 901 F.2d 329, 332–33 (3d Cir. 1990); *Tripathi v. Beaman*, 878 F.2d 351, 352 (10th Cir. 1989); *In re Martin-Trigona*, 737 F.2d 1254, 1262 (2d Cir. 1984)). Such “authority extends to *pro se* litigants.” *Sathianathan*, 2006 WL

538152, at \*32. “In deciding whether to issue a filing injunction, a court must determine ‘whether a litigant who has a history of vexatious litigation is likely to continue to abuse the judicial process and harass other parties.’” *In re Truong*, 2009 WL 2929261, at \*7 (quoting *Safir v. U.S. Lines, Inc.*, 792 F.2d 19, 24 (2d Cir. 1986)). The Second Circuit requires that the following factors be considered in this analysis: “(1) the litigant’s history of litigation and in particular whether it entailed vexatious, harassing or duplicative lawsuits; (2) the litigant’s motive in pursuing the litigation, e.g., whether the litigant have an objective good faith expectation of prevailing; (3) whether the litigant is represented by counsel; (4) whether the litigant has caused needless expense to other parties or has posed an unnecessary burden on the courts and their personnel; and (5) whether other sanctions would be adequate to protect the courts and other parties.” *Safir*, 792 F.2d at 24.

WHEREAS, the Court enters this Order to show cause why the Court should not determine Franklin to be a vexatious litigator and either impose sanctions or grant an injunction enjoining future filings by Franklin in these chapter 11 proceedings.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby

ORDERED, that Franklin shall show cause at a hearing to be held before the undersigned bankruptcy judge on March 12, 2015, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 501 of the United States Bankruptcy Court, located at the Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, why the Court should not impose sanctions in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 or issue an injunction enjoining Franklin from future filings in these chapter 11 proceedings;

ORDERED that, on or before 5:00 p.m., February 20, 2015, Franklin shall file a response to this Order explaining why cause exists such that the Court should not impose sanctions or issue a filing injunction against him;

ORDERED that, on or before 5:00 p.m., March 6, 2015, the Trust shall file a response to this Order and Franklin's response;

ORDERED that, counsel for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust shall appear in person at the hearing on March 12, 2015. Franklin, who appears in this case *pro se*, shall also appear in person at the hearing.

Dated: February 5, 2014  
New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn  
MARTIN GLENN  
United States Bankruptcy Judge